Case 2:12-cv-07320-DSF-JCG Document 1 Filed 08/24/12 Page 1 of 18 Page ID # 1

FU 50

ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN, Plaintiff In Pro Per 5162 1/2 FOUNTAIN AVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90029

2012 M'C 24 PH 4: 16

TEL: 213-700-8012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN, An Individual Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT FOR:

VS. WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, A

Nebraska Corporation;

Corporation:

REAL TIME RESOLUTIONS INC., A Texas)

Corporation;

- 1. Violations of 12 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.
- 2. Violations of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.
- 3. Violations of 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.
- 4. Violations of California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.
- 5. Violations of California Civil Code **§1572.**
- 6. Violations of California Business and Professions Code §17200

Demand for Jury Trial

AURORA BANK FSB, A Delaware EMC MORTGAGE, A Delaware Limited Liability Company; Defendants.

Plaintiff ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN (hereinafter "Plaintiff") on behalf of himself brings his Complaint against Defendants WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE ("WFHM"), AURORA BANK FSB ("AURORA"), EMC MORTGAGE ("EMC"), REAL TIME RESOLUTIONS INC. ("RTR") for violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act [12 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.] ("RESPA"), the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.] ("FDCPA"), the Fair Credit Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.] ("FCRA"), California's Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act [California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.] ("CCRAA"), California Civil Code §1572 and California Business and Professions Code §17200, and alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Congress enacted the FCRA to establish consumer rights to privacy over their 1.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15 16

13

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

AUG 2 4 2012

credit and financial information and to ensure the "[a]ccuracy and fairness of credit reporting." FCRA provides several protections for consumers, including but not limited to the right to be notified of any negative/unfavorable information reported in their name and the right to dispute inaccurate, outdated and/or incomplete information on their credit file.

- 2. FCRA regulates credit reporting agencies as well as creditors, collection agencies and other parties who provide information to credit reporting agencies and/or obtain and use the consumer credit reports. FCRA Section 623, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2, imposes obligations on furnishers of information to the credit reporting agencies. Furnishers must report accurate information, correct and update erroneous information, and provide certain notices to consumer pertaining to furnished information.
- 3. CCRAA was implemented to protect the credit information of California consumers. CCRAA also regulates consumer credit reporting agencies and furnishers of information with respect to personal, credit and other financial information submitted and maintained in their credit file. CCRAA in California Civil Code §1785.25-1785.26 refrains furnishers of information from reporting information that they know or should have known was erroneous, and obligates furnishers to cease credit reporting of information disputed by consumers without notice of such dispute.
- 4. CCRAA provides consumers with the right to be informed of negative credit reporting and the right to dispute information in their credit reports, which they believe is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Consumers also have the right to bring civil action against violators of any provision of the CCRAA with respect to their rights and their credit, and to seek monetary damages. California Civil Code §1785.19 and §1785.31.

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction arises under 15 U.S.C. 1681p, Title 12, Regulation Z, Part 226.1(c)(3), and Title 24 CFR, Regulation X, Part 3500. Jurisdiction is proper in this court because the Defendants conduct business within this district.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

6. Venue is proper for all claims because substantial part of the events and transactions which gave rise to this complaint occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and within this judicial district.

II. PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION

- 7. FCRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o, create private right of action consumers can bring against violators of any provision of the FCRA with regards to their credit. In DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc., supra, the court confirmed that "[...] the plain language of [CRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o] provide a private right of action for a consumer against furnishers of information who have willfully or negligently failed to perform their duties upon notice of a dispute. [...] there is a private right of action for consumers to enforce the investigation and reporting duties imposed on furnishers of information."
- 8. Pursuant to Gorman v. MBNA America Bank, N.A., No. 06-17226, Plaintiff is entitled to a Private Remedy Against Furnishers and FCRA §1681s-2(b) triggers Defendants' furnisher's liability under this section, since Plaintiff made his initial disputes with the credit reporting agencies.
- 9. California Civil Code §1785.15(f) states that consumers "have a right to bring civil action against anyone [...], who improperly obtains access to a file, knowingly or willfully misuses file data, or fails to correct inaccurate file data" concerning a consumer's credit report. 10. California Civil Code §1785.31(a) states that Plaintiff as "any consumer who suffers damages as a result of a violation of this title by any person may bring an action in a court of appropriate jurisdiction against that person to recover the following."

III.PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is a natural person, an individual residing in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 12. Defendants WFHM, AURORA and EMC are each a mortgagee/creditor to their respective loans.

9

14 15

17

18

16

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendants are responsible for the acts, occurrences and transactions as officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants or as their agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers and as set forth in this Complaint, and that each of them is legally liable to Plaintiff, as set forth below and herein:
- a. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally acted willfully with respect to the matters alleged in this Complaint;
- b. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally authorized, approved of, adopted and/or ratified the acts alleged herein or the agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants did so act;
- c. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally participated in the acts alleged herein of Defendants;
- d. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally had close supervision of their agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants;
- e. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally were familiar with the facts regarding the matters alleged herein;
- f. Said Officers, directors or managing agents of Defendants personally failed to investigate the circumstances appertaining to the acts alleged herein. They also failed and refused to repudiate the herein alleged actions and failed to redress the harm done to Plaintiff. Further, said officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendants failed and refused to punish or discharged the said agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants, even after learning of the acts of the agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendants.
- 14. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the relief prayed for in this Complaint, and any future amended complaint. Further, Plaintiff alleges that each act alleged herein, whether by a named Defendant was expressly authorized or ratified.

IV. FACTS

15. In or around August 2011, Plaintiff obtained and reviewed his credit reports from the major Credit Reporting Agencies ("CRAs"), including Experian, Transunion and Equifax,

which among other accounts and information contained the following accounts reported by Defendants: 106121806.... and 106121807.... reported by WFHM, 364003555.... reported by AURORA in four separate entries, 589001096.... and 589001210.... reported by EMC, 30763.... and 47800.... reported by RTR ("Accounts"). The accounts were reported in negative status, although Plaintiff was never informed by any one of the Defendants of negative credit reporting.

- 16. Plaintiff has had accounts with Defendants WFHM, AURORA and EMC but the accounts reported by these Defendants or at least the majority of the information contained in each of their reporting was inaccurately reported, since these accounts contained derogatory statements and other information concerning the account history, including bill and payment amounts and dates that did not agree with Plaintiff's recollection and records of past and present accounts.
- 17. Plaintiff did not at all recognize Defendant RTR, which reported two accounts to Plaintiff's credit report as Mortgage loans.
- 18. Defendants' and each one of their reporting of the subject accounts were not only inaccurate, at least with respect to the derogatory statements, but they were duplicates of one another furnished in multiple listings to Plaintiff's credit file.
- 19. On or about September 1, 2011, Plaintiff submitted disputes of the accounts to the CRAs, stating that the accounts reported by WFHM, AURORA and EMC contained inaccurate derogatory statements and were in duplicate form of at least one other account reported in Plaintiff's credit reports by the same or other creditor/furnisher. Plaintiff did not recognize the accounts reported by RTR or Defendant RTR as the furnisher and thereby disputed its reported accounts in their entirety.
- 20. Based on information and belief, the CRAs contacted each of the Defendants regarding Plaintiff's dispute of each of their respective accounts as furnished with the CRAs. Plaintiff was informed by the CRAs that investigation of his disputes would take up to 30 days.
- 21. On or about September 1, 2011, following his credit bureau dispute, Plaintiff also contacted Defendants WFHM, AURORA, EMC and RTR directly, with letters identified and meeting the requirements to constitute as Qualified Written Requests ("QWR") per Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act [12 U.S.C. §2605(e)]. Plaintiff's QWR was an appropriate request addressed to each of the Defendants since each of the subject accounts was identified

as a mortgage account in Plaintiff's credit reports, thereby qualifying each Defendant as

26

27

28

mortgagee/servicer of those loans. In his letter to Defendants, Plaintiff expressed his concerns regarding the accounting and specifically, the amounts and dates of the delinquencies as furnished to the CRAs, and requested specific loan documents necessary to conduct review and audit of the loans and to verify the accuracy of the accounts Defendants report. Plaintiff asked Defendant RTR to verify the entirety of its reported accounts. 22. Defendants WFHM, AURORA, EMC and RTR and each one of them failed to formally acknowledge the QWRs within 20 days of receipt, pursuant to RESPA [12 U.S.C. §2605(e)(1)(A)]. Defendants also failed to cease credit reporting of the disputed accounts upon receipt of the OWR as per RESPA [12 U.S.C. §2605(e)(3)]. 23. Sometime after his disputes, Plaintiff obtained updated copies of his credit reports from CRAs, which contained all the accounts he had disputed without any indication of his disputes or of an ongoing investigation, as if the information was verified to be accurate. 24. No response and more importantly verification was provided to Plaintiff by Defendants WFHM, AURORA, EMC and RTR regarding his disputes and requests. Defendants and each one of them violated the FCRA by failing to make the requested verification or credit correction/deletion of the disputed accounts, following the 30-day investigation pursuant to FCRA [15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)]. Defendants not only maintained the inaccuracies concerning each disputed account, but they further maintained multiple reporting of the accounts in Plaintiff's credit file. Defendants further violated RESPA by failing to make appropriate corrections to the accounts, provide documents and explanation of why they believe the accounts are correct, or an explanation of why the requested information cannot be provided to Plaintiff, within 60 days of receipt of Plaintiff's QWR, pursuant to RESPA [12 U.S.C. §2605(e)(2)]. 25. On or about October 17, 2011 and again on December 16, 2011 Plaintiff sent similar follow ups to each of the Defendants WFHM, AURORA, EMC and RTR, addressing their lack of response, verification or correction of his credit file and the overall improper handling of his credit dispute and his QWR. Despite Plaintiff's efforts, Defendants failed to respond and cooperate as to their previous lack of verification and correction and to cure their violations in that respect.

- 26. In the interim while Plaintiff was in dispute with Defendants, Plaintiff conducted research on Defendant RTR and realized that despite its Mortgage reporting, RTR was in fact a collection agency collecting consumer debts.
- 27. On or about January 5, 2012 Plaintiff sent Defendant RTR a debt validation request pursuant to FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. section 1692g(b), in which he requested that if RTR wasn't in fact a mortgagee/creditor as it represented itself in the credit reporting of its two accounts, but rather a collection agency, then it should provide debt validation for each of its alleged debts.
- 28. RTR did not provide the requested validation for its alleged debts nor did it respond back to Plaintiff to explain and justify its unlawful credit reporting.
- 29. To Date, Defendants have failed to provide timely and proper response or make appropriate corrections with respect to Plaintiff's QWR and his credit dispute of the accounts in question. Defendants' accounts are not only inaccurate and unverified but they continue to appear in multiple listings in Plaintiff's credit file under the same or different account names and numbers.
- 30. Defendants have nonetheless deliberately, willfully, intentionally, recklessly and negligently repeatedly failed to take reasonable actions in compliance with RESPA and FCRA, have disregarded Plaintiff's consumer disputes and requests, have failed to remove inaccurate credit information, have failed to note the disputed status of the inaccurate credit information and make permanent deletion of such reporting after lack of verification for the accounts in dispute.
- 31. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered:
- a. Actual damages and serious financial and pecuniary harm arising from monetary losses relating to denials of attempts to obtain credit cards and consumer loans, loss of use of funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities, excessive and/or elevated interest rate and finance charges.
- b. Out of pocket expenses associated with disputing the credit information only to find the information to remain on the credit report;
- c. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having incorrect derogatory personal information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown;

d. Decreased credit score and creditworthiness, which may result in inability to obtain credit on future attempts.

V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES ACT 12 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.

Against Defendants WFHM, AURORA and EMC

- 32. Defendants and each one of them is a "servicer" to the loans, as the term is defined in 12 U.S.C §2605(i)(2).
- 33. Defendants have willfully and/or negligently failed to comply with the RESPA in the following respects:
- a. Failing to provide Plaintiff with clear, complete, and accurate disclosures;
- b. Failing to provide Plaintiff with a booklet entitled Consumer Handbook on Adjustable Rate Mortgages, published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and by failing to provide Plaintiff with a loan information booklet;
- c. Failing to acknowledge Plaintiff's Qualified Written Request (QWR) pursuant to 12 U.S.C. §2605(e)(1)(A) and Reg. X §3500.21(e)(1);
- d. Failing to make appropriate corrections in Plaintiff's accounts, or otherwise provide the Plaintiff with a written explanation of why the disputed information is accurate supported by proper documents or explanation of why the requested information cannot be provided for Plaintiff's review, along with the name and contact information of an individual/department available to assist the Plaintiff, no later than 60 days after Defendants' receipt of Plaintiff's QWR.
- e. Failing to respond to or to act to resolve Plaintiff's QWR in the time allowed, or at all;
- f. by reporting default payments- negative credit information- to credit reporting agencies and failing to cease such reporting while Plaintiff's QWR remained unresolved pursuant 12 U.S.C. §2605(3).

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTIONS PRACTICES ACT

15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.

Against Defendant RTR

- 34. Defendant RTR is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a (6).
- 35. Defendant RTR knowingly and willfully violated the FDCPA by:
- a. Failing to provide dunning notice of debts and a written notices of Plaintiff's right to request validation of debt along with information about the alleged debt, including the name and contact information of the original creditor pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a);
- Failing to cease collection activity and continuing to make collection efforts on the alleged debt without properly responding to Plaintiff's validation request pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);
- c. Failing to properly validate the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);
- d. Using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e;
- e. Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.

Against All Defendants

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though alleged in full in this cause of action.
- 37. Plaintiff is a "consumer", as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 38. Defendants and each one of them is a "furnisher of information," as referenced in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.

- 39. Defendants have willfully and/or negligently failed to comply with the FCRA in the following respects:
- a. Failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information furnished to the CRAs;
- b. Failing to conduct adequate investigation of the information disputed by Plaintiff as described in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b);
- c. Failing to delete or permanently block the reporting of the accounts disputed by Plaintiff, which were not and/or could not be verified after the reinvestigation, per 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b)(1)(E);
- d. Failing to take proper action of verification, correction, deletion, or permanent block of the information disputed by Plaintiff by the deadline, as described in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (b)(2).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT

CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §1785

Against All Defendants

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though alleged in full in this cause of action.
- 41. Plaintiff is a "consumer", as that term is defined in California Civil Code §1785.3(b).
- 42. Defendants have willfully and/or negligently failed to comply with the CCRAA at least in the following respect:
- 43. Furnishing information of Plaintiff's credit file which Defendants knew or should have known was inaccurate and/or incomplete, in violation of California Civil Code §1785.25(a);
- 44. Defendants' credit reporting was knowing and willful at least with respect to the duplicate reporting. Also, Defendants' failure to verify or delete the disputed accounts even after multiple follow ups further proves that, in the absence of verification, Defendants knowingly and willfully continued to maintain the multiple reportings of

inaccurate accounts in Plaintiff's credit file.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §1572 Against Defendants WFHM, AURORA and EMC

- 45. The misrepresentations by Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors, failures to disclose, and failure to investigate as described above were made with the intent to induce Plaintiff to obligate himself through the Loan in reliance on the integrity of Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors.
- 46. Plaintiff is an unsophisticated customer whose reliance upon Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors was reasonable and consistent with the Congressional intent and purpose of California Civil Code §1572 enacted in 1872 and designed to assist and protect consumers similarly situated as Plaintiff in this action.
- 47. As an unsophisticated customer, Plaintiff could not have discovered the true nature of the material facts on his own.
- 48. The accuracy by Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors of representation is important in enabling consumers such as Plaintiff to compare market lenders in order to make informed decisions regarding lending transactions such as a loan.
- 49. Plaintiff was ignorant of the facts, which Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors misrepresented and failed to disclose.
- 50. Plaintiff's reliance on Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors was a substantial factor in causing his harm.
- 51. Had the terms of the Loan been accurately represented and disclosed by Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors, Plaintiff would not have accepted the Loan nor been harmed.
- 52. Had Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors investigated Plaintiff's finances and acted in good faith, Plaintiff would not have been harmed.
- 53. Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors conspired and agreed to commit the above-mentioned fraud.

54. The conduct of Defendants and/or Defendants' predecessors as mentioned above was fraudulent within the meaning of California Civil Code §3294(c)(3).

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 Against All Defendants

- 55. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs as set forth fully herein.
- 56. As a direct result of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff has incurred actual damages consisting of mental and emotional distress, nervousness, grief, embarrassment, los of sleep, anxiety, worry, mortification, shock, humiliation, indignity, pain and suffering, and other injuries.
- 57. Plaintiff incurred out of pocket monetary damages.
- 58. Plaintiff continues to incur monetary damages.
- 59. Each of Defendants' harassing acts was so willful, vexatious, outrageous, oppressive, and maliciously calculated enough, to warrant statutory penalties and punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for this Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and collectively as follows:

- a) Actual damages, per 12 U.S.C. §2605(f)(1)(A), 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(A);
- b) Statutory damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.19(a);
- c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. §2605(f)(3), 15 U.S.C. §1681n (c) and/or Code of Civil Procedure §490.020;
- d) Punitive damages, per California Civil Code §3294(a), 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(2) and Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(B), and any other additional damages per 12 U.S.C. §2605(f)(1)(B) as the court may allow;
- e) Injunctive relief, per Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(b), ordering Defendants to delete the reporting of the unverified accounts;
- f) Declaratory relief, which is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202;

g) Any other relief as this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

Dated: August 24, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By:_

ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN Plaintiff In Pro Se

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to	District Judge Dale S. Fischer and the assigned discovery
Magistrate Judge is Jay C. Gandhi.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 7320 DSF (JCGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Name & Address: ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN, Plaintiff in Pro Per 5162 1/2 FOUNTAIN AVE

LOS ANGELES, CA 90029

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN, An Individual PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CASE NUMBER CV12-07320-DSF(JC
VELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, A Nebraska Corporation; AURORA BANK FSB, A Delaware Corporation; EMC MORTGAGE, A Delaware Limited Liability Company; REAL TIME RESOLUTIONS INC., A Texas Corporation DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S): WELLS FARGO HOME MOEME MORTGAGE, REAL TIME RESOLUTION A lawsuit has been filed agains FOR OF	ONS INC
	as on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint amended complaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer IIRAYR ADZHEMYAN, whose address is 15 you fail to do so
AUG 2.4 2012 Dated:	By: ANDRES PEDRO Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)
	(Seal of the County)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CV-01A (12/07)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself 凶) ZHIRAYR ADZHEMYAN				DEFENDANTS WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, AURORA BANK FSB, EMC MORTGAGE, REAL TIME RESOLUTIONS INC								
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) 5162 1/2 FOUNTAIN AVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90029				epresenting	Attorneys (I	f Known)						
II. BASI	S OF JURISDICTION	l (Place	an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZEN	SHIP OF PE	UNCIPAL PA	RTIES - F	For Diversity Cases	Only		
□ 1 U.S.	Government Plaintiff	Ľ 3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This		P	TF DEF	Incorporated or P		PTF □4	DEF □ 4
□ 2 U.S.	Government Defendant	□ 4	Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	nship	Citizen of Ano			12 🗆 2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar			□ 5
157 AP	CINI (Diagram V in	. ha :	-le-)		Citizen or Subj	ject of a Forei	gn Country	13 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Court Reopened State Court Court Reopened State Court												
CLASS A VI. CAU VII. NA 400 S 410 A 430 B 450 C 840 C 480 C 480 C 480 C 8810 S 8850 S 8850 S 8851 S 8852 S 8852 S 8853 S 8854 S 8854 S 8855 S	DLATIONS OF 15 U.S. TURE OF SUIT (Place HER STATUTES tate Reapportionment antitrust tanks and Banking commerce/ICC tates/etc. Deportation tacketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Consumer Credit Cable/Sat TV elective Service decurities/Commodities/ exchange Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	P. 23: the U. C. Sective an X i 110 120 130 140 150 151 152 153 160 196 196 196 196 196 196 196 196	S. Civil Statute under whice ton 1681, 15 U.S.C. Section one box only.) CONTRACT Insurance Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	h you a n 1692 1310	are filing and way, Cal Civ. Code IORIS SONAL INIUI Airplane Airplane Product Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Product Liabil Other Persona Injury Personal Injur Med Malpract Personal Injur Product Liabil Asbestos Pers Injury Product Liability MMIGRATION	MONEY DI rite a brief sta section 1788 Section 1788 Section 1788 370 371 8 385 ct	TORIS PERSONAL PROPERTY Other Fraud Truth in Lendi Other Persona	COMPLA Do not collected Section 1	PRISONER PRITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty	Table Tabl	rersity. IOR IOR IOR Mgmt. Ing & Sure Act Y RIG IGHTS IG	et or Act ic. HTS (923) W VI
S	Constitutionality of		All Other Real Property	10 4/6	Other Immigr	ا سمنفس	Rights			USC		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: U12-0/320-DSF (JCG-)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pro-	eviously filed in this cou	ırt an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ≝No □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre	viously filed in this cou	rt tha	t are related to the present case? You Yes			
□ C. 1	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons w	or closely related transs on of the same or substa ould entail substantial d	action ntiall uplica	ns, happenings, or events; or y related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sh	eet if	necessary.)			
				f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
LOS ANGELES	.,			VARIOUS			
				f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*		•		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
LOS ANGELES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		VARIOUS			
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca	•	•		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
LOS ANGELES				VARIOUS			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use				San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER); 🚄	- Aguir		Date 8/24/2012			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	ed by the Judicial Confe	renc	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Stateme	ent of	f Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supple Act, as amended.	ment	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2